

1. Don't you think the Cr-6 might have actually reached the river, but it was diluted by the large river volumes? If Cr-6 gets into the Colorado River, and the concentrations are below the 11 ppb standard, is that acceptable to DTSC or DOI? Is it acceptable to the Tribes? Is it a desecration to the river? Is it acceptable to the public?
2. Stay away from the organic layer near the river. If you poke holes in it, and the Cr-6 goes through the organic layer, you really will have Cr-6 in the river.
3. What will happen when the wells become clogged with calcite? Will you drill more wells? How many more? 300, 400, 500 wells – when will it end? Why not use the wells you already have, why drill more? Will you inject acid into the wells when they clog? Do the Council members feel that acid injections are a desecration?
4. What do you mean by hypothetical future groundwater users? If institutional controls are placed on the land, there should not be any future groundwater users.
5. Did the risk assessment consider Cr-6 uptake by plants and animals? The plants and animals are sacred to the Hualapai, and the plants are used in ceremonies. What are the Cr-6 concentrations in plants at the site compared to background?
6. When Cr-6 is converted to Cr-3, arsenic, iron, and manganese will take the place of Cr-6. Do you know how much arsenic, iron, and manganese will be there? What about your hypothetical future groundwater users, won't they be exposed to arsenic, iron, and manganese?
7. When the work is completed, how will you reclaim the land? How will you reclaim 400 drill holes into the ground? This is important to the Hualapai Tribe, and has not been discussed at all.