

**From:** Marcos, Jose@DTSC [<mailto:Jose.Marcos@dtsc.ca.gov>]

**Sent:** Tuesday, June 20, 2017 1:48 PM

**To:** 'Russell, Curt' <[GCR4@pge.com](mailto:GCR4@pge.com)>

**Cc:** Baker, Karen@DTSC <[Karen.Baker@dtsc.ca.gov](mailto:Karen.Baker@dtsc.ca.gov)>; Yue, Aaron@DTSC <[Aaron.Yue@dtsc.ca.gov](mailto:Aaron.Yue@dtsc.ca.gov)>;

Innis, Pamela <[pamela\\_innis@ios.doi.gov](mailto:pamela_innis@ios.doi.gov)>; Carrie Marr <[Carrie\\_Marr@fws.gov](mailto:Carrie_Marr@fws.gov)>; PGFile

<[PGFile@dtsc.ca.gov](mailto:PGFile@dtsc.ca.gov)>; Hong, Christina/LAC <[Christina.Hong@CH2M.com](mailto:Christina.Hong@CH2M.com)>; Guerre, Christopher@DTSC

<[Christopher.Guerre@dtsc.ca.gov](mailto:Christopher.Guerre@dtsc.ca.gov)>

**Subject:** PG&E Topock Soil RFI/RI [EXTERNAL]

Dear Mr. Russell,

Based on previous discussions, it is the Department of Toxic Substances Control's understanding that PG&E is waiting for direction from agencies to proceed with the risk assessment for the PG&E Topock project. Since PG&E has completed the field work according to the RFI/RI work plan and associated data gap work plans for soil investigation, and has validated nearly all of the sampling results, PG&E should continue the risk evaluation process according to the approved Risk Assessment Work Plan and addendum so that the agencies and concerned stakeholder groups will have the appropriate risk information in making decisions on the project. We look forward to working with you and your team on the risk evaluation. Contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jose Marcos  
DTSC