State of California STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD

2018-2019

LUP ANNUAL REPORT

FOR STORM WATER DISCHARGES ASSOCIATED WITH CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES

Reporting Period July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019

In compliance with the Construction General Permit (CGP) an annual report is required to be submitted electronically via SMARTS by September 1 of each year. This document must be certified and signed, under penalty of perjury, by the appropriate official of your company.

If you have any questions, please contact your Regional Board Storm Water Permit Contact. The names, telephone numbers and e-mail addresses of the Regional Board contacts, as well as the Regional Board office addresses can be found at http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterboards map.shtml. To find your Regional Board information, match the first digit of your WDID number with the corresponding number that appears in parenthesis on the first line of each Regional Board office.

GENERAL INFORMATION:

| Α. | Property Owner or Legally Responsible Pers | on Information: |
|----|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Site WDID No: N/A | |
| | Owner's Name: Topock Phase 1 Construction | Contact Person: <u>Carmen Fewless</u> |
| | Physical Address: 3400 Crow Canyon Road | e-mail: <u>CRFR@pge.com</u> |
| | City: San Ramon | State: <u>CA</u> Zip: <u>94583</u> Phone: <u>925-415-6304</u> |
| В. | Site Information: | |
| | Site Name: PG&E Topock Compressor Station | Contact Person: Curt Russell |
| | Mailing Address: | Contact Forcests. Contribution |
| | 14553 National Trails Highway | e-mail: gcr4@pge.com |
| | City: Needles | State: CA Zip: 92363 Phone: 760-791-5884 |

FORM 1 SPECIFIC INFORMATION

C. Best Management Practices Plan (BMP Plan) 1. Has a BMP Plan been prepared by a Qualified SWPPP Developer (QSD) for the construction project? \mathbb{M} If NO, Explain: Because the PG&E Topock groundwater remedy is part of a CERCLA response action, remedial construction activities conducted onsite are covered under the permit exemption codified in CERCLA Section 121(e)(1). While the permit exemption applies to the administrative or procedural elements (preparing and submitting permit applications and obtaining permits), the substantive requirements of applicable laws remain. In compliance with the Groundwater Final EIR Mitigation Measures HYDRO-1, HYDRO-2, and HYDRO-3, and incorporating the construction general permit updates, PG&E prepared a Best Management Practices (BMP) Plan for groundwater remedy construction activities (C/RAWP, Appendix M). The Topock groundwater remedy construction BMP Plan complies with the substantive requirements of the California and Arizona Construction General Permits, as well as the applicable federal, state, and local permit and regulatory requirements, 2. Does the BMP Plan include a Monitoring & Reporting Program (M&RP) section/element? \boxtimes YES NO If **NO**, Explain: 3. Are these documents kept onsite or in a construction vehicle and available upon request? \bowtie **YES** NO If NO, Explain: GOOD SITE MANAGEMENT "HOUSEKEEPING" 1. Were required good site management "housekeeping" measures for construction materials implemented on-site? \square YES NO a. Were the products used and/or expected to be used identified? M YES NO If **NO**, Explain: 2. Were required good site management "housekeeping" measures for waste management implemented on-site? \bowtie YES NO If **NO** Explain: a. Is there a spill response and implementation element of the BMP Plan? X **YES** NO If NO, Explain: The Topock groundwater remedy construction BMP Plan includes a spill response and implementation element

D.

| | 3. | on-site | | e management no | usekeepin | ig meas | ures for <u>v</u> | <u>renicie storage</u> | and mainte | enance imp | iementea |
|----|------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| | | | YES | | | | NO | | | | |
| | lf N | IO Expla | in: | | | | | | | | |
| | 4. | Were r | equired good sit | e management "ho | usekeepin | ıg" meas | ures for <u>l</u> | andscape mate | <u>erials</u> imple | mented on- | site? |
| | | | YES | | | | NO | | | | |
| | lf N | IO Expla | in_: <u>Not Applical</u> | ble. To date, landso | ape mate | rials hav | e not bee | en used for Top | ock ground | dwater reme | <u>edy</u> |
| | <u>CO1</u> | <u>nstructior</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5. | Was a | list of potential p | oollutant sources de | eveloped? | | | | | | |
| | | \boxtimes | YES | | | | NO | | | | |
| | lf N | NO, Expla | ain: | | | | | | | | |
| | 6. | | good site manage ons implemente | ement "housekeepi d on-site? | ng" meası | ures to c | ontrol air | deposition of s | site materia | ls and from | site |
| | | | YES | | | | NO | | | | |
| | lf N | IO Expla | in: | | | | | | | | |
| Ε. | <u>NC</u> | ON-STO | RM WATER M | IANAGEMENT | | | | | | | |
| | 1. | Identify | any non-storm | water discharges in | nplemente | ed on this | project of | during this repo | orting year. | | |
| | _ | Fire Hydr | rant Flushing | Irrigation of \ | /egetative | Erosion | Control I | Measures | _ Pipe Flus | hing & Tes | ting |
| | _ ٧ | Vater to | Control Dust | Street Clear | ning | Dew | atering | Uncontan | ninated Wa | ter from De | watering |
| | X in a | Other: <u>T</u> ccordanc | opock groundwa ce with Board Or | ater remedy wastew der No. R7-2018-0 | rater disch 022. The d | arges to discharge | PG&E To e does no | opock Compre ot leave the pro | ssor Station eject bounda | <u>n Evaporati</u> ary. | on Ponds |
| | 2. | Were n | neasures to conf | trol all non-storm w | ater discha | arges du | ring cons | struction impler | mented? | | |
| | | | YES | | | | NO | | | | |
| | lf N | IO Expla | in: | | | | | | | | |
| | 3. | | rehicles washed ge systems? | in such a manner a | is to preve | ent non-s | torm wat | er discharges t | to surface v | vaters or to | MS4 |
| | | | YES | | | | NO | | \boxtimes | N/A | |
| | lf N | IO Expla | in: | | | | | | | | |
| | 4. | | | n such a manner as drainage systems? | | nt unauth | orized no | on-storm water | discharges | from reach | ning |
| | | YES | | | | NO | | \triangleright | N/A | | |
| | lf N | | in: | | _ | | | <u>~</u> | • | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

F. <u>EROSION CONTROLS</u>

1. Were required erosion controls implemented on your site?

| | | \boxtimes | YES | | | | | NO | | | | | |
|----|----------------|------------------|--------|----------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|--------------|--------------|-------|
| | If NO | Explai | n: | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| G. | <u>SEDI</u> | MENT | COI | NTROLS | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. V | Vere re | quire | d sediment contro | ls implemente | d on you | ur site? | | | | | | |
| | | \boxtimes | YES | | | | | NO | | | | | |
| | If NO | Explaii | n: | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Н. | RUN- | -ON A | ND R | RUN-OFF CONT | ROLS | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. V | Vas all | site r | un-on and run-off | effectively mar | naged? | | | | | | | |
| | Г | | YES | | | \boxtimes | NO | | N/A | | | | |
| | If NO, | , Explai | in: | Run-on from nea | arby hillside du | — ıring rair | n event i | n Decem | ber 2018 | resulted | l in track-o | out of rece | ntly |
| | | • | | graded soil durin | g construction | of the e | entrance | road to t | he Const | truction F | | | |
| | | | | the surrounding a and was the run-o | | d to con | itribute t | o an exce | eedance (| of the NA | ALs or NE | Ls, was thi | is |
| | | | YES | | | | | NO | | | \boxtimes | N/A | |
| | If NO , | , Explai | in: | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| I. | INSP | FCTIO | ON N | MAINTENANCE | AND REPAIL | ₽ | | | | | | | |
| •• | | | | | | _ | orform o | d or ounc | uniood by | , a Quali | fied CMD | IDD Drootiti | ionor |
| | | vere ar QSP)? | Sile | inspections, main | tenance, and i | еранѕ р | enome | a or supe | ervised by | y a Quali | ileu SWP | PP Pracuu | onei |
| | | \boxtimes | YES | | | | | NO | | | | | |
| | If NO , | , Explai | in: | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. V | Vere si | te ins | pections conducte | ed as required | by the C | CGP? | | | | | | |
| | | \boxtimes | YES | | | | | NO | | | | | |
| | If NO, | , Expla | in: | | | | | | | | | | |

| 3. | Do you | ır inspection forms | s/ checklists meet the | minimum crite | ria listed in t | the CGP? |
|-----------|------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| | | YES | | | NO | |
| | If NO , E | Explain: | | | | |
| 4. | During | any site inspectio | n, were BMP inadeqı | uacies noticed? | • | |
| | | YES (Provide d | escription in Form 3) | | NO | |
| | If NO , E | Explain: | | | | |
| 5. | If BMP | inadequacies we | re observed, did BMF | repairs/replace | ement begir | n within 72 hours? |
| | | YES | | | NO | |
| | If NO, E | Explain: | | | | |
| • | 107 | | . f (l | | | the with New York |
| 6. | were p | onotograpns taker | i of the site before, di | uring, and aπer | every qualit | fying (third) rain event |
| | | YES | | | NO | |
| | If NO , E | Explain: | | | | |
| 7. | Were t | he date and rain g | gauge reading or nea | rest governmer | ntal rain gau | ge recorded for each qualifying rain event? |
| | | YES | | | NO | |
| | If NO , E | Explain: | | | | |
| 8. | Prior to | each predicted ra | ain event, were pre-s | torm event insp | ections con | ducted in compliance with the CGP? |
| | | YES | | | NO | |
| | If NO, E | Explain: | | | | |
| 9. | Were p | oost rain event ins | pections conducted? | | | |
| | \boxtimes | YES | | | NO | |
| | If NO , E | Explain: | | | | |
| 10 | Aro all | visual inspection | records retained on-s | ito or offsito an | d available | upon roquoet? |
| 10. | Alean | · | records retained on-s | | | upon request: |
| | | YES | | | NO | |
| | If NO , I | Explain: | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| <u>WA</u> | TER Q | UALITY SAMPI | LING AND ANALY | <u>SIS</u> | | |
| 1. | | | 7 | ' | | more at the time of discharge) occurred this |
| | past re | porting year? | 9 | | o qualitying o next Sect | g storm events or Type I Project) ion. |

J.

| ΕX | nain On- | discharge. No non-storm wa period. | | | | ying storm events in this reporting |
|-------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| 3. | | sampled events, did you collect a n ay of discharge per qualified storm e | | of three s | amples (represen | tative of the flow and characteristics) |
| | | YES | | NO | | N/A (Type 1 Project) |
| If N | O , Expla | iin: | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4. | Were g | rab samples analyzed for pH and tu 'S) | ırbidity? (| Analytica | l data must be en | tered in the RAW DATA tab in |
| | | YES | | NO | | N/A (Type 1 Project) |
| If N | O , Expla | iin: | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 5. | | ny samples analyzed for Suspende PATA tab in SMARTS) | d Sedime | ent Conce | entration (SSC)? (A | Analytical data must be entered in the |
| | | YES | | NO | | N/A (Type 1 Project) |
| 6. | Was re | ceiving water monitoring conducted | ? (Analyt | ical data | must be entered in | n the RAW DATA tab in SMARTS) |
| | | YES | | NO | \boxtimes | N/A (Type 1 Project) |
| 7. | | active Treatment System (ATS) efflu ed ATS) | ent samp | les taker | and submitted in | SMARTS? (Applies to projects that |
| | | YES | | NO | \boxtimes | N/A (No ATS used) |
| | | | | | | |
| NO | N-VISII | BLE POLLUTANT MONITORING | <u>G</u> | | | |
| 1. | Were a | ny breaches, malfunctions, leakage | s, or spill | s observ | ed during a visual | inspection? |
| | \boxtimes | YES | | | NO Skip to next | Section |
| 2. | Were pevent? | ollutants from any breach, malfunct | ion, failur | e and/or | leak of any BMP o | eleaned up prior to the next rain |
| | | YES (Skip to next Section) | | | NO | |
| 3. | | ch discharge event (of non-visible poical data must be entered in the RA) | | | | compliance with the CGP? |
| | | YES NO | N/A) | | | |
| If N | O, Expla | iin: | | | | |
| 4. | | ch discharge event was a comparison with the pollutant)? (Analytical data | | | | |
| | | YES NO | N/A | | | |

K.

| | If N | I O , Explai | in: | | | | | | | | | |
|----|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|------------|---------------|--------------------|------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| L. | NA | NAL EXCEEDANCES | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. | Were ar | Were any Numeric Action Levels (NALs) exceeded? | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | YES | | | NO Sk | ip to nex | Section | \boxtimes | N/A (Type 1 Project) | | |
| | 2. | Were co | orrective action | ns taken to a | address | the NAL e | exceedan | ces? | | | | |
| | | | YES | | | NO | | | | N/A | | |
| | | If NO, E | xplain: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | If YES , please provide information about the corrective actions taken on Form 3 if a NAL Exceedance F not requested by the Regional Water Board. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3. | Were ar | nalytical result | s from any/a | all NAL e | xceedand | es subm | itted electro | nically to the Sta | ate Water Board? | | |
| | | | YES | | | NO | | | \boxtimes | N/A | | |
| | | If NO, E | xplain: | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4. | Were any NAL Exceedance Reports submitted at the request of the Regional Water Board? | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | YES | | | NO | | | \boxtimes | N/A | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| М. | <u>NE</u> | NEL EXCEEDANCES | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. | Were any Numeric Effluent Limitations (NELs) exceeded? | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | YES | | | | NO Sk | ip to nex | Section | | N/A (Type 1 or 2 Project) Skip to next Section | | |
| | 2. | Were any NEL exceedances due to a storm event equal to or larger than the Compliance Storm Event described in the CGP ? (On-site rain gauge and governmental rain gauge verification required) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | YES | | | NO | | | | N/A | | |
| | | If YES, | If YES, provide the date of the storm event and rain gauge information | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3. | Were corrective actions taken to address the NEL exceedances? | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | YES | | NO | | | N/A | | | | |
| | | If NO , E | xplain: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | If YES, | please provide | e information | n about t | he correc | tive actio | ns taken on | Form 3 | | | |
| | 4. | Were N identifie | | Reports subr | nitted to | the State | Water Bo | oard within 2 | 24 hours after th | e NEL exceedances were | | |
| | | | YES | | NO | | | N/A | | | | |
| | | If NO, E | xplain: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

5. Were analytical/sampling results from any/all NEL exceedances submitted electronically to the State Water Board no later than 5 days after the conclusion of the storm event/receipt of the lab results?

| | | YES | 5 | | NO | | | N/A | | | |
|------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | If NO , Expla | ain: | | | | | | | | |
| | 6. | | equent Suspe DATA tab in | | | oncentrati | on (SSC) | analyses conducted? (Analytical data must be entered | | | |
| | | | YES | | NO | | | N/A (Storm Event > Compliance Storm Event) | | | |
| | | If NO , Expla | ain: | | | | | | | | |
| | 7. | | | | | | | ubsequent Receiving Water samples taken and A tab in SMARTS) | | | |
| | | | YES | | NO | | | N/A (Storm Event > Compliance Storm Event) | | | |
| | | If NO , Expla | ain: | | | | | | | | |
| N. | TR/ | <u>AINING</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. | Was the BN QSP? | MP Plan imple | emented b | y a Qual | ified SWF | PPP Pract | itioner (QSP) or a trained person supervised by a | | | |
| | | | YES | | NO | | | | | | |
| | If Y | es, Provide | Name and Ce | ertificate N | lumber: | | Scott O | Donnell 26885 | | | |
| | | If NO, Explain: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | - | | | | | | naintenance and repairs trained as required by the | | | |
| | | | YES | | NO | | | | | | |
| | If N | O, Explain: | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3. | Are comple | te training red | cords kep | t in the B | MP Plan a | and availa | able upon request? | | | |
| | | \boxtimes | YES | | | | NO | | | | |
| | If N | O, Explain: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ΑN | NNU | AL REPOR | T CERTIFIC | CATION | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | achments were prepared under my direction | | | |
| | | | | | | | | ssure that qualified personnel properly gather iry of the person or persons who manage | | | |
| | | | | | | | | ring the information, to the best of my | | | |
| kn | owle | edge and b | elief, the ir | nformation | on subr | nitted is | , true, a | ccurate, and complete. I am aware that there | | | |
| | | | enalties for knowing v | | | e inform | ation, in | cluding the possibility of fine and | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pri | nted | Name: | Isabella J | orialines | 5 | | | | | | |
| Sig | ınatu | ıre: | <u> SIVIII-</u> | gos | | | | Date: 1 October 2019 | | | |
| Titl | e: | Manag | er | | | | | | | | |

DESCRIPTION OF ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS

The Construction Activities Storm Water General Permit (General Permit) requires you to analyze storm water samples for at least two parameters. These are pH and turbidity. In addition, you must monitor for any other pollutants which you believe to be present in your storm water discharge (i.e. non-visible pollutants) as a result of construction site materials.

pH (required) - is a numeric measure of the hydrogen-ion concentration. The neutral, or acceptable, range is within 6.5 to 8.5 (Numeric Action Level-NAL range). At values less than 6.5, the water is considered acidic; above 8.5 it is considered alkaline or basic. The Numeric Effluent Limitation (NEL) for pH is 6.0-9.0. An example of an acidic substance is vinegar, and an alkaline or basic substance is liquid antacid. Pure rainfall tends to have a pH of a little less than 7. There may be sources of materials or construction activities which could increase or decrease the pH of your storm water discharge.

Turbidity (required) - is the cloudiness of water quantified by the degree to which light traveling through a water column is scattered by the suspended organic and inorganic particles it contains. The turbidity test is reported in Nephelometric Turbidity Units (NTU) or Jackson Turbidity Units (JTU). The NAL for turbidity in this General Permit is 250 NTU. The NEL is 500 NTU

Suspended Sediment Concentration (SSC) - is the measure of the concentration of suspended solid material in a water sample by measuring the dry weight of all of the solid material from a known volume of a collected water sample. Results are reported in mg/L.

Benthic Macroinvertebrate Bioassessment – evaluation of animals without backbones, living in or on sediments or other substrates, of a size large enough to be seen by the unaided eye, and which can be retained by a U.S. Standard No. 30 sieve (28 openings per inch, 0.595-mm openings) to assess the biological conditions (health) of a waterbody.

See Storm Water Contacts at

http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterboards_map.shtml

FORM 3-POTENTIAL POLLUTANT SOURCE/CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY BMP STATUS

Please enter a general summary of any BMP deficiencies identified for each quarter and the corrective actions taken. Once completed, click the save button.

| July – September | No BMP deficiencies identified between August 28, 2018 and September 30, 2018. Topock groundwater remedy construction activities started on October 2, 2109. |
|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| October - December | In fourth quarter 2018, BMP deficiencies were recorded involving general maintenance of fiber rolls, plastic drip pans, track-outs, and spill containment. In addition to general maintenance, spill containment was added beneath porta potties at the start of the project. Track-out was also cleaned up and additional BMPs (rocks and rumble plates) were added. All BMP deficiencies were discussed with Construction Management. All BMP deficiency corrections were initiated by the contractor within 72 hours. |
| January – March | In first quarter 2019, BMP deficiencies were recorded involving replacing or repairing silt fencing, fiber rolls, spill containment, and plastic under equipment; covering material bins, pallets, drilling tanks, and stockpiles; emptying trash receptacles; removing sand on fiber rolls; removing rainwater from concrete containment areas; increasing amount of gravel for track-out; and general housekeeping. All BMP deficiencies were discussed with Construction Management. All BMP deficiency corrections were initiated by the contractor within 72 hours. The QSP provided additional training to contractor. |
| April – June | In second quarter 2019, BMP deficiencies were recorded involving replacing or repairing fiber rolls, spill containment, and plastic under equipment; emptying trash receptacles; adding BMPs downstream at the Construction Headquarters; adding stakes to fiber rolls; adding fiber rolls around stockpiles overnight and on the weekend when not active; removing sand on fiber rolls; installing pipe rack and a camlock connection to the valve of a tank for leak prevention redundancy; and general housekeeping. All BMP deficiencies were discussed with Construction Management. All BMP deficiency corrections were initiated by the contractor within 72 hours. |