## CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL PUBLIC SCOPING MEETING FOR THE PG&E TOPOCK COMPRESSOR STATION NOTICE OF PREPARATION FOR THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

Tuesday, May 27, 2008

City of Palm Desert City Council Chamber Palm Desert, CA 92260

Transcribed by

Statewide Transcription Services

On Behalf of

EDAW

REPRESENTATIVES PRESENT:

_	KATHIE SCHIEVELBEIN - DTSC
2	WILLIAM BECKMAN - DTSC
3	AARON YUE - DTSC
4	JEANNE MATSUMOTO - DTSC
5	BOBBETTE BIDDULPH - EDAW
6	LESLIE REDFORD - EDAW
7	LEAHA MURPHY - EDAW
8	NANCY GRAHAM - EDAW
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

PAGE

25

INDEX

1	Call to Order and Presentations4
2	Public Comments20
3	Adjournment22
4	Transcriber's Certification23
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## PROCEEDINGS

1

2	MS. MATSUMOTO: Welcome. First of all, thank you for being
3	here and I guess we owe a thanks to the Chamber for
4	letting us use their beautiful room. My name is
5	Jeanne Matsumoto. I work for the Department of Toxic
6	Substances Control, the State of California, and I'm a
7	Public Participation Specialist. The Department of
8	Toxic Substances Control is a department within the
9	California Environmental Protection Agency and it is
10	the lead regulatory agency for the environmental
11	investigation and clean-up of the PG&E Topock
12	Compressor Station. Why are we here? DTSC is
13	conducting public scoping meetings as part of the
14	preparation for the Environmental Impact Report for
15	the Topock Compressor Station and it's our intention
16	to gather input from agencies, tribal representatives
17	and members, stakeholders, and the public. Let's see.
18	The information provided in your comments will be used
19	to develop the EIR. We will not be responding to your
20	comments today. The comments are used to determine
21	what information will be included in the EIR. Because
22	this goes along with the California Environmental
23	Quality Act, this has a very specific protocol for the
24	comments and we're looking for comments and input in
25	specific subjects. Let's see. Good thing there's

only two people here.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

**FEMALE:** Are you missing some pages?

MS. MATSUMOTO: No, I'm missing a little bit of rehearsal. Well, we want input regarding environmental issues to be analyzed and possible clean-up alternatives. the process we're going to go through with comments, we're going to skip today. We won't have cards. will need you to state your name for conversational purposes, if you plan to give a comment, a verbal comment, today. Your name will not be recorded. Ιt won't be entered into the actual administrative record. If you are uncomfortable standing up and giving a comment, we welcome you to provide a written comment to us. You can leave it here or you can send it to the contact information that will be up on the screen in a little bit. We are making a digital recording of comments and we will also do a graphic reporting of comments on the wall. Agenda, if you picked up a packet out front, you should have an agenda, a copy of the presentation. There's also a green paper which is a meeting evaluation form. helps me. If you fill this out and leave it on the table as you leave, this will help me perfect the meetings and I need help. I appreciate input. start with the introductions. We'll have a project

background. There will be someone discussing the EIR process, then we will actually take formal comments. There will be conclusion of the formal comments and then we'll all be here for question and answers.

There's several people to introduce. We have a DTSC Project Team which is headed by Watson, he's not here today, and Karen, she's not here today. Our Project Manager, Aaron Yue, is here today and I'm here. From our office of environmental planning and environmental analysis, we have Kathie and Bill at the back of the room. Now, EDAW is an independent consulting firm that's helping to prepare the EIR and we have Bobbette, Jamie -- I haven't seen Jamie --

- MS. MURPHY: Jamie's not here today.
- MS. MATSUMOTO: -- Leaha, Leslie, and Nancy --
- 16 MS. GRAHAM: At the table.

- MS. MATSUMOTO: -- at the table. All right. And Stev, I haven't seen Steve either. And now I'd like to turn the meeting over to Aaron Yue, the project manager from DTSC. He will be discussing project background.
- MR. YUE: Thank you, Jeanne. Okay. I'm going to just stand behind the table here. Again, my name is Aaron Yue. My title is actually the Senior Hazardous Substances Engineer. I am the Project Manager for the PG&E Topock site. You have my contact information in

25

this particular slide as well on the fact sheet and also in any of the mail-outs that you've received. Today what I wanted to do is to go over the project background, just so I do be informed of what's been happening out at the site and also where we've been in terms of investigation, as well as talk a little bit about the clean-up process. The project background, PG&E Topock Compression Station is actually located about 15 miles southeast of Needles, California. You'll see an aerial photo which is displayed on that table to the left-hand side there, the aerial really has a lot of significant cultural and spiritual importance to the Native American people. The station is also surrounded by federally owned lands and that includes also land owned by the Bureau of Reclamation and managed by the Havasu National Wildlife Refuge. And here is a general map. You can see the station right up here and this is I-40 coming down. This is a little hard to see but you should have that in your handout. Operational history, what does PG&E do at the site? PG&E essentially has owned and operated the compression station since 1951 and the main purpose for the station is to compress natural gas for delivery to its customers in the Northern and Central California areas. The gas that is being compressed is

25

basically your standard household gas that you use for cooking and heating. This is an older aerial photo of the PG&E compressor station. Essentially gas comes in and PG&E add pressure to the line and shoots the gas off to its customers in Northern and Central California. In the process of doing that, heat's generated when you compress gas. And so, what PG&E needs to do is to use cooling power, such as this new cooling power that they've replaced. They've basically put water into heater parts of the station, the compressor engine, and cool it down. If you can think of the analogy of an automobile engine, you have coolant that cools down the engine as it runs, and likewise PG&E is doing the same thing out at the compressor station. Hexavalent chromium has actually been used since 1951 to 1985 and that is the subject, or at least that as the predominant chemical concern, at this particular site. Between 1951 and all the way to 1976, PG&E had used Cr6 as a chemical to prohibit corrosion. And as part of the process, they put that chromium into the cooling water and when it's spent they discharge it to a dry wash and it's called Bat Cave Wash and we'll see that in the next slide. eventually, the chromium actually seeped through the soil and entered the ground water. And as part of

24

25

that process, unfortunately, it created a Cr6 ground water plume extending towards the Colorado River. Here is an overhead projection of what the current plume boundary looks like, that we know of. Again, this is the compressor station and here's the dry wash, the Bat Cave Wash that leads out. And at present, this is the chromium plume. Now, one thing to note is that this projection, it's a vertical projection, and what we've done is essentially looked at the site where many wells, groundwater monitoring wells should be picked as the plumes three dimensional nature underground. What you see in green represents the hexavalent chromium, that's within groundwater, and the blue is clean groundwater actually, and this dark blue is really where the Colorado River is at. So, if you'd note, the plume, even though in the previous slide suggests that there is chromium potentially in the river, actually what is happening is that there is a little bit of the plume, what we can ascertain is that it's beneath the river and about 80 feet beneath the river itself. Okay. investigation and clean-up process; where we're at in terms of the site. First of all, in order for me to elaborate of where we've been or where we're going, you have to understand how the clean-up process works.

24

25

Essentially, there are three major steps. The first step is clearly to figure out how bad is the situation. The second step is how should we clean it And then, finally, clean up the plume. There are regulatory terms for step one. Step one is being done under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. document that supports that is the RCRA facility investigation report. The second step, how should we clean it up, is evaluated under the corrective measure study itself, or the feasibility study. And in the final step, cleaning it up, is the implementation of the final remedy after it's selected. So, how bad is the site? What we've done substantial amount plume investigation, specifically for groundwater, because it is due to the close proximity of the plume to the Colorado River, that is given priority over the soil investigation. Nevertheless, we will do both soil and groundwater investigation to determine the full extent of contamination. PG&E, since signing a consent agreement with the Department of Toxic Substances Control in 1996, has installed and actively monitoring over 150 groundwater wells at the site. The Colorado River is also sampled. The river water itself is also sampled at a quarterly interval as well. It's monthly intervals when the river water level drops and the

25

river water level actually is predominately controlled by the release of water up at the Davis Dam. At this point, the groundwater investigation is almost complete. We do know the extent of the chromium groundwater contamination at the site and what we do know is that the Colorado River, at the present, is not impacted by the Cr6. In 2004, there was contamination discovered near the river from a new well that was put in by PG&E. As a result, the Department required PG&E to begin immediate extraction of some of the groundwater plume and they've also constructed a treatment system to handle the water that's being extracted from the ground. Today they've extracted approximately 200 million gallons of contaminated groundwater and recovered over 4,700 pounds of chromium since 2004. Again, we place the emphasis on the groundwater, or the priority is to the groundwater, but then there's still the soil component. PG&E has actually identified 29 areas to investigate for contamination. That investigation is to come. PG&E has also drafted the soil sampling work plans to guide in the investigation and those particular work plans are still pending regulatory approval and implementation. So, finally, how should we clean it up? The final groundwater and soil cleanup technologies will really evaluated in one large document that's going to be coming up and it's called Correct Measure Study or the Feasibility Study, that's used by the federal regulators, and also some of the evaluations will be done under the Environmental Impact Report and under the Environmental Impact Report, it will evaluate potential impacts of the technology to the project area. Finally, once we've selected a remedy and we anticipate a selecting of remedy only after we get public input and evaluate all the alternatives, then the remedy will be implemented. I think the timeline as to when the Corrective Measure Study and the final remedy implementation is going to take place that we've talked about by Bobbette. Right now, I'll turn the floor over to Bobbette.

MS. BIDDULPH: Thanks, Aaron. So, before I jump into my presentation, I guess the thing that I'd really like to emphasize tonight is that this is really the beginning of the environmental review process under the California Environmental Quality Act and the real purpose of this meeting is to get public input, to get your ideas and thoughts and concerns so that we can do the best job possible in addressing those comments and issues in that environmental analysis. We're just beginning. We're just starting to develop our

25

analysis and gather information and so we're not going to have all the answers tonight. We're really looking to get input on those answers so that we can answer them later on as we do our work. A little bit of definition of what an Environmental Impact Report is, an Environmental Impact Report is required for this remediation project, for the clean-up project and that is a requirement under the California Environmental Quality Act. DTSC, as a public agency, must prepare an EIR for any project that it purposes to carry out that could potentially have a significant impact on the environment. Now, our project under review in this particular case is the clean-up of both the contamination of the groundwater, as well as Aaron mentioned that there is some contamination of the There's clearly more focus on the groundwater soils. because of the concern of it being close to the Colorado River and so there's going to be more focus on that as a priority for clean-up. As Aaron also described, the different approaches to cleaning up this groundwater and the different technologies that would be available to do that, are going to be described in this document called the Corrective Measure Study, Feasibility Study. So, the Environmental Impact Report, or the EIR, for this

24

25

project will be what we call a Program EIR and that's because of these two levels of analysis for both the ground water and the soils contamination. The EIR is going to have more detail about the groundwater cleanup because of the prioritization on that clean-up and then a broader approach is going to be used for the soils clean-up. As more information is developed about the exact location and parameters of the soil impacts, future environmental analysis will basically tier, is the technical term, off of this Program EIR and study that soil clean-up in more detail. just really a laundry list of the different topics that are going to be addressed in the Environmental Impact Report. This Environmental Impact Report is going to be what we call a Full Scope Environmental Impact Report. We're basically going to be looking at all of the different environmental issue areas. we already know that we're going to have a chapter or a section in our document on each of these independent issue areas, but it might trigger some thoughts in your mind for air quality, let's particularly think about this issue. So, those are the kinds of comments that we'd like to hear from you. In addition, in the EIR, the California Environmental Quality Act requires that we look at some others types of analysis.

25

will be alternatives to the proposed projects, so we're going to be looking at different approaches to clean-up and what are the comparative environmental impacts or effects related to those different approaches, which one would result in less environmental impact or which one results in the most environmental impacts and weighing that against the objectives of the project. As well, the document will talk about impacts that have been found to not be significant and provide the substantiation or the information that shows clearly why those impacts were concluded to not be significant. As well, if there are unavoidable impacts that would result from cleaning up this property that can't be avoided with any type of mitigation measure or alternative approach, the document will summarize those, as well as significant irreversible changes. Growth-inducing impacts probably won't be an issue in this one but we still need to address it. The growth-inducing impacts are questions of whether or not a project would cause additional population growth or additional housing demands. So, we'll take a look at that. And then, as well, the document will include a discussion of cumulative impacts and what cumulative impacts are is a consideration of what the actions related to our

25

project would cause in combination with other projects that might be happening in the area. One of the issues that is becoming more and more prevalent in this section is climate change and global warming. So, we will be taking a look at that. Now, throughout our environmental review process, as I mentioned, we're just in the beginning but as we kick this off, we're going to be gathering information from a variety of sources that will include published reports, the monitoring efforts that Aaron talked about. We'll be outreaching to agencies and getting input from the agencies about what might be of concern, as well as conducting tribal outreach and communication which will have confidentiality associated with it but trying to get input from the tribes because of the cultural importance of this area to the tribes. as well, where necessary, we'll be doing site specific resource studies. For instance, we might need to go out and do some additional biological resource studies at the property. Now, this is a little washed out but it is in your handouts and we have a graphic over posted in the entryway, this gives a generalized schedule of what we're looking at for the environmental analysis and basically the top row there are when we'll have fact sheets. We'll have

25

additional public meetings just like this one tonight, as well as providing information in our information repositories. We're basically in this first column where we're releasing the Notice of Preparation that says we're starting this environmental review process. Then we'll be doing those environmental analyses I talked about and we will likely complete the draft EIR in the Fall of 2009 or Winter of 2010 and at that time we'll have public meetings and fact sheets again similar to how we've provided information at this goround. Now, after we gather comments on that draft EIR, what happens is we circulate the draft EIR that includes all of the analysis and it's going to be circulated for 60 days and during that 60 day period anybody can comment on the contents of that environmental analysis, and that's another opportunity for the public to, and for you, to review our analysis and provide additional input. At the end of that 60 days, we're basically in that Winter/Spring 2010 preparation of response to comments. Once we receive the public's comments and agency comments on that draft environmental analysis, we actually then go through the process of responding to any of those comments and those responses to comments will actually be published in the final EIR, at which time we will

24

25

have another public meeting and that process is looking to be concluded in the Spring of 2010. Now, as Jeanne mentioned, and I just want to reiterate, the purpose of this meeting is to gather input on the environmental analysis. Some questions to think about when thinking about what input to provide, if you have such input, is what environmental effects should be addressed in the environmental analysis, in the EIR. Do you have ideas for potential alternatives or mitigation measures that might create the least impact or might be creative approaches to the clean-up at the site? Or in addition, if you have project related questions, because obviously DTSC and PG&E are still working on the details of how to clean-up the property, if there are project related questions we can take that in too and make sure that those questions are addressed in the environmental analysis. So, tonight's meeting is the first in a series of five scoping meetings. We'll also be in Yuma, Arizona; Needles, California; Lake Havasu City and Big River, California. So, those are some other opportunities for input and there are a varieties ways to also provide your input. Verbally if you're comfortable, it's great to hear your input that way. You can also provide your comments in writing. We have comment

forms which you can just fill out in hand or if you're more comfortable going home and typing a letter on your computer then you can do that also and send it to the mailing address that's in the information we've handing out tonight. Also, email works, but just a note that it would be really helpful and we'd really need you to get your input to us before July 1st in order for us to make sure that we have it in time to basically kick off that environmental process. Okay. So, Jeanne, turn it over to you.

MS. MATSUMOTO: Okay. Just a few more slides. For more information about the project, we have DTSC contacts, of course, Aaron, the project manager, myself, and media inquiries, you will find these listed in your packet today, and our information repositories.

Repositories are files and they're located near people and the project. So, this project, because of the river and the way it travels, we have several repositories. We have one at the Needles Public Library, one in the Chemehuevi Indian Reservation, Golden Shores, the Topock Library, Lake Havasu City Library, the Colorado River Indian Tribes Public Library, and the Parker Public Library. In addition to that, the administrative record is at the DTSC Cypress Office and people can visit and access the

files there. And we have a website for the project, which I highly recommend, that's kept up to date and it's very informative. Okay. At this time, we would like to formally accept verbal comments, if there are any.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FEMALE: I do have one. In fact, I didn't know about this meeting today. I am so glad. I feel very strongly that everything happens for a reason. For the visitors that are here, open this up, www.cleargold.org. I just have a crew coming back from Germany for the financing of this water desalinization and in fact I didn't even know I had this. I'm really glad I brought this. This is a little bit about the website, Aaron, where they take sewage water, any kind of water, break it down. fact, they're looking at the Salton Sea for the water desalinization to clean up the salt water. They have orders from all over the country, not just in the United States but we're talking about Irag. This is bigger than you can possible imagine, and that's about to open the door any day now. It will give you the names of the people involved with this clean-up. will take bath water, sewage water, (inaudible) water, and turn it out into drinking water five years on the shelf. Here is a little bit of information on it.

1 This is a home unit that they're talking about but 2 they are going to be working with the environmental 3 clean-ups too with the Navy Corps of Engineers, they're working with them on oil spills. They'll be 5 able to take and use everything (inaudible) where they 6 electronically take it in and clean it up, and within 7 just a week, they'll take an oil spill and have it all 8 gone. Now, that's going to be a real asset for the 9 environment. My name is --, if you have any questions 10 after you pull this up and you need to get in touch 11 with one of these guys. They'll real busy right now, 12 so emailing them would probably be the way to go. 13 David Jones is the CEO. He is the head of this. 14 have two Canadians that are scientists that have been 15 working on this for a long time that just now got this 16 where they've got the financing from the Germans for 17 this project. The factory will be in Port Roberts, 18 Washington, and once they start making the units it 19 will be out to the public too for housing units so 20 that in the event of any disaster they'll be able to 21

MS. MATSUMOTO: Thank you for sharing.

22

23

24

25

sell that drinking water. Thank you.

FEMALE: And I forgot to give you my phone number, 760-578-7274.

MS. MATSUMOTO: And are there any other comments regarding

the EIR for the Topock Compressor Station? This will conclude the formal comment portion of the meeting and we will be here to answer questions about the project so you are welcome to stay --

FEMALE: It's dot org, not dot com. Did I say dot com?

It's dot org, O-R-G. I'm sorry. I did not mean to interrupt you.

MS. MATSUMOTO: Okay. Thank you. That adjourns this meeting. We are here to answer questions, though.

MR. YUE: Thank you.

MS. MATSUMOTO: Thank you.

--000--

- MEETING ADJOURNED -

- 22 -

## TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATION

б

This is to certify that I, Kelli Wells, transcribed the digitally-recorded public meeting of the California Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Toxic Substances Control, dated May 27, 2008; that the pages numbered 1 through 22 constitute said transcript; that the same is a complete and accurate transcription of the aforesaid to the best of my ability.

Dated June 25, 2008.

Kelli Wells, Transcriber Statewide Transcription Services